

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,521	10/23/2000	George Frey	4002-2512	9645
7	7590 05/14/2003			
Douglas A. Collier Woodard, Emhardt, Naughton, Moriarty and McNett Bank One Center/Tower			EXAMINER	
			PRIDDY, MICHAEL B	
	t Circle, Suite 3700 N 46204-5137		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3732	/
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	LA CARACTERIA	1 A	<del>1</del> AA			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
Office A. Company	09/694,521	FREY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael B Priddy	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this con IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 (	October 2000 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	plantian requirement					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been received in	Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	. •	- <del>-</del>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 3732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19, drawn to a spinal implant, classified in class 623, subclass
   17.16.
- II. Claims 20-26, drawn to an assembly for non-linear insertion of a spinal implant, classified in class 606, subclass 86.
- III. Claims 27-38, drawn to a surgical instrument, classified in class 606, subclass 53.
- IV. Claims 39-49, drawn to a method for inserting an implant in a spinal disc space, classified in class 606, subclass 99.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because, for example, the implant of group II is not required to have leading end, trailing end, posterior or anterior walls and upper and lower bearing members. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a set of tools having different design from those of the assembly of group II.

Art Unit: 3732

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the surgical instrument of invention III could be used with any intervertebral/spinal implant; including one of design different from that of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of inserting does not require all of the structural particulars of the implant of invention I and could therefore be used to insert an implant not having posterior and anterior walls and upper and lower bearing members.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the assembly of invention II does not require a spreader. Nor does the assembly require a distal portion having a distal working end laterally offset by a lateral offset portion. The subcombination has separate utility such as with any assembly of design different from that of invention II.

Art Unit: 3732

Inventions IV and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of invention V does require an inserter having an elongated shaft or a handle and could therefore be performed with an insertion combination including an inserter without a handle or elongated shaft.

Inventions IV and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of invention V does not require an instrument having a distal portion with a lateral offset portion. Nor does the process require a lamina spreader including first and second arms. The process of invention V could therefore be performed with an instrument not having a distal portion with a lateral offset portion or with any instrument which does not have first and second arms.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any of Groups I-IV is not required for any of the remaining Groups I-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3732

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A. Figs. 1-3

B. Figs. 4, 5(a) & 6

C. Figs. 7-9

D. Fig. 36

E. Figs. 37 & 39

F. Figs. 40-44

G. Fig. 48

H. Fig. 49.

Upon choosing any of inventions II-IV, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 32 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 3732

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3732

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is (703) 308-8620. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8 a.m. - 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Michael B. Priddy

May 12, 2003

KEVIN SHAVER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 7